US Department of Transportation Federal Aviation

10

Administration

- Carto

Subject: AIRPLANE SIMULATOR QUALIFICATION

RAFT

Date:	5/15/89	AC No:	120-40B
Initiated	by: ASO-205	Change:	

Advisory

Circular

1. <u>PURPOSE</u>. This advisory circular (AC) provides an acceptable means, but not the only means, of compliance with the Federal Aviation Regulations (FAR) regarding the evaluation and qualification of airplane simulators to be used in training programs or for airmen checking under Title 14 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Criteria specified in this AC are those used by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) to determine whether a simulator may be qualified and the qualification level. While these guidelines are not mandatory, they are derived from extensive FAA and industry experience in determining compliance with the pertinent FARs. Mandatory terms used in this circular such as "shall" or "must" are used only in the sense of ensuring applicability of this particular method of compliance. Applicable regulations must also be referenced to assure compliance with the provisions therein. This advisory circular applies only to the evaluation of airplane simulators. See, for example, AC 120-45A, Flight Training Device Qualification.

2. <u>CANCELLATION</u>. Advisory Circular (AC) 120-40A, Airplane Simulator and Visual System Evaluation, dated July 31, 1986, is canceled. Operators having simulator improvement or acquisition projects in progress on the effective date of this advisory circular have 90 days from the effective date to notify the National Simulator Program Manager (NSPM) of those projects which the operator desires to complete under the provisions of AC 120-40A.

3. <u>RELATED REGULATIONS AND ADVISORY CIRCULARS</u>. Federal Aviation Regulation (FAR) Part 1, FAR Sections 61.57, 61.58, 61.157, Part 61 Appendix A, FAR 63.39, FAR 63 Appendix C, FAR 121.407, 121.409, 121.439, 121.441, FAR 121 Appendix E, F, H, FAR 125.285, 125.287, 125.291, 125.297, 135.293, 135.297, 135.323, 135.335. AC 120-28C, AC 120-29, AC 120-35, AC 120-41, AC 120-45, AC 120-46, AC 150/5300-2D, AC 150/5340-1E, AC 150/5340-4C, AC 150/5340-19, AC 150-5340-24, and AC 150/5345-28D.

4. <u>BACKGROUND</u>. Advancing technology has permitted and encouraged more use of flight simulators in training and checking of flight crewmembers. The complexity, operating costs, and operating environment of modern aircraft continually lead to broader use of the advanced simulator technology available. Simulators can provide more in-depth training than can be accomplished in airplanes and provide a very high transfer of learning and behavior from the simulator to the airplane. Their

in lieu of airplanes, results in safer flight training and cost reductions for operators, while achieving fuel conservation, and reduction in environmental impact.

In recognition of flight simulator capability, as the technology progressed, FAR revisions were made to permit the increased use of simulators in approved training programs. In December 1973, FAR Amendments 61-62 and 121-108 permitted additional use of visual simulators. Amendments to Section 121.439 of the FAR permitted a simulator approved for the landing maneuver to be substituted for the airplane in a pilot recency of experience qualification. These changes to the FAR constituted a significant step toward the development of Amendments 61-69 and 121-161 issued June 24, 1980, which contained the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) Advanced Simulation Plan. To support this plan, the National Simulator Evaluation Program was established by the FAA in October 1980. The program is administered and directed by the National Simulator Program Manager (NSPM).

As the FAR revisions provided for the evolution of greater use of simulators for training and checking, there also was an evolution of the simulator technology and hence the criteria for simulator qualification. A listing of known simulator criteria should be informative since the qualification basis for a given simulator may be any of the past criteria, depending on when the simulator was first approved or last upgraded. The following list provides the effective dates of simulator qualification criteria documents:

FAR 121 Appendix B	1/9/65 to $2/2/70$
AC 121-14	12/19/69 to 2/9/76
AC 121-14A	2/9/76 to 10/16/78
AC 121-14B	10/16/78 to 8/29/80
FAR 121 Appendix H	6/30/80 to Present
AC 121-14C	8/29/80 to 1/31/83
AC 120-40	1/31/83 to 7/31/86
AC 120-40A	7/31/86 to effective date of AC 120-40B

Each of these documents has addressed the greater complexity represented by succeeding generations of simulators. Complexity of the highest level is not, however, required of all simulators. In fact, simulators are divided into levels with authorized training and checking increasing with increasing simulator capability. Until the advent of the Advanced Simulation Plan, there were two levels of simulators--nonvisual and visual. Some visual simulators were approved for "the landing maneuver." The Advanced Simulation Plan introduced three additional levels--Phase I, Phase II, and Phase III, with those visual simulators previously approved for "the landing maneuver" being incorporated into Phase I. The training and checking credits for nonvisual and visual simulators were delineated in FAR, Part 61, Appendix A, and FAR, Part 121, Appendices E and F. Credits for Phases I, II, and III were contained in the Advanced Simulation Plan. Four levels of simulators were, therefore, addressed; Basic (nonvisual and visual simulators), Phase I, Phase II, and Phase III. Each of the four levels is

progressively more complex than the preceding level and each contains all the features of preceding levels plus the requirements for the designated level. As the technology has advanced, so has the qualification guidance. Efforts to keep the criteria updated are, therefore, ongoing with active participation from both industry and government resources.

Continuing this same process, the FAA, in coordination with industry, has reviewed a wide spectrum of devices used in training in order to provide guidance on required standards and permitted uses. While recognizing the requirement to categorize and define training devices, it became obvious that the designation of simulators was outmoded. The concept of phases was no longer applicable since it derived from a provision for operators to upgrade their simulator inventories in phases and enjoy certain simulator use privileges while doing so. The concept of upgrade in phases is essentially complete and the designation of "phase" for identification of simulator complexity is no longer descriptive. Operators no longer begin at a lower level of qualification and upgrade in phases. The tendency is to acquire a given level simulator that best suits their position. Therefore, simulators were redesignated. The new designations and their relationships with the simulator definitions used previously and in FAR Part 121, Appendix H, are:

Level A - Visual Level B - Phase I Level C - Phase II Level D - Phase III

Nonvisual simulators are now grouped with Level 6 training devices, but must meet the requirements, except for visual, of a Level A simulator. There is no other change in their characteristics or description; just their "name." Alphabetic designations were chosen for simulators to maintain a distinction from the numerically designated training devices.

5. DISCUSSION.

a. The procedures and criteria for simulator evaluations under the National Simulator Evaluation Program are contained in this advisory circular. A simulator, qualified by the NSPM in accordance with the guidance and standards herein, will be recommended to the operator's principal operations inspector (POI) or certificate holding district office, as appropriate, for approval for use within an operator's training program.

b. Evaluation of simulators used for training or certification of airmen under Title 14 CFR fall under the direction of the National Simulator Evaluation Program. A simulator will be evaluated under the provisions of this advisory circular if it is used in a training program approved under FAR Parts 63, 121, 125, or 135 or i it is used by an operator in the course of conducting the Pilot-in-Comman Proficiency Check required by FAR 61.58 or the issuance of an airline transpor pilot certificate or type rating in accordance with the provisions of FAR 61.157

c. Under the National Simulator Evaluation Program concept, a simulator i evaluated for a specific operator by an FAA Simulator Evaluation Specialist. Base on a successful evaluation, the NSPM will certify that the simulator meets th AC 120-40B

teria of a specific level of qualification. Upon qualification by the NSPM, roval for use of the simulator in a particular training program will be determined by the POI in the case of FAR Parts 63, 121, 125, or 135 certificate holders or by the district office responsible for oversight of a training center when the training center is using the simulator to conduct checks required by FAR Part 61.

d. FAA evaluations of simulators located outside the United States will be performed if such simulators are being used by a U.S. operator to train or certificate U.S. airmen. Evaluations may be conducted otherwise as deemed appropriate by the Administrator on a case-by-case basis.

e. Operators contracting for use of simulators already qualified and approved at a particular level for an airplane type are not subject to the qualification process. However, they are required to obtain FAA approval to use the simulator in their approved training programs.

6. DEFINITIONS.

a. <u>Airplane Simulator</u> is a full size replica of a specific type or make, model and series airplane cockpit, including the assemblage of equipment and computer programs necessary to represent the airplane in ground and flight operations, a visual system providing an out-of-the-cockpit view, and a force cueing system which provides cues at least equivalent to that of a three degrees-of-freedom motion system; and is in compliance with the minimum standards for Level A simulator.

b. <u>Approval Test Guide (ATG)</u> is a document designed to validate that the Formance and handling qualities of a simulator agree within prescribed limits with those of the airplane and that all applicable regulatory requirements have been met. The ATG includes both the airplane and simulator data used to support the validation. The Master Approval Test Guide (MATG) is the FAA approved ATG and incorporates the results of FAA witnessed tests. The MATG serves as the reference for future evaluations.

c. <u>Convertible Simulator</u> is a simulator in which hardware and software can be changed so that the simulator becomes a replica of a different model, usually of the same type airplane. The same simulator platform, cockpit shell, motion system, visual system, computers, and necessary peripheral equipment can thus be used in more than one simulation.

d. <u>Highlight Brightness</u> is the area of maximum displayed brightness which satisfies the brightness test in Appendix 1, Item 4.k.

e. Latency is the additional time beyond that of the basic airplane perceivable response time due to the response time of the simulator. This includes the update rate of the computer system combined with the respective time delays of the motion system, visual system or instruments.

f. <u>National Simulator Program Manager (NSPM)</u> is the FAA Manager responsible for the overall administration and direction of the National Simulator Evaluation Program. g. <u>Operator</u>, as used in this AC, identifies the person or organization requesting FAA qualification of a simulator and is responsible for continuing qualification and liaison with the FAA.

h. <u>Simulation Data</u> are the various types of data used by the simulator manufacturer and the applicant to design, manufacture, and test the flight simulator. Normally, the airplane manufacturer will supply airplane data to the simulator manufacturer.

i. <u>Simulator Evaluation Specialist</u> is an FAA technical specialist trained to evaluate simulators and to provide expertise on matters concerning airplane simulation.

j. <u>Snapshot</u> is a presentation of one or more variables at a given instant of time. A snapshot is appropriate for a steady state condition in which the variables are constant with time.

k. <u>Statement of Compliance (SOC)</u> is a certification from the operator that specific requirements have been met. It must provide references to needed sources of information for showing compliance, rationale to explain how the referenced material is used, mathematical equations and parameter values used, and conclusions reached.

1. <u>Time History</u> is a presentation of the change of a variable with respect to time. It is usually in the form of a continuous data plot over the time period of interest or a printout of test parameter values recorded at multiple constant time intervals over the time period of interest.

m. <u>Transport Delay</u> is the total simulator system processing time required for an input signal from a pilot primary flight control until motion system, visual system, or instrument response. It is the overall time delay incurred from signal input until output response. It does not include the characteristic delay of the airplane simulated.

n. <u>Upgrade</u>, for the purpose of this advisory circular, means the improvement or enhancement of a simulator for the purpose of achieving a higher level qualification.

o. <u>Validation Flight Test Data</u>, for the purpose of this advisory circular, are performance, stability and control, and other necessary test parameters electrically or electronically recorded in an airplane using a calibrated data acquisition system of sufficient resolution and verified as accurate by the company performing the test to establish a reference set of relevant parameters to which like simulator parameters can be compared. Other data, such as photographic data, may be considered acceptable flight test data after evaluation by the NSPM.

p. <u>Visual System Response Time</u> is the interval from an abrupt control input to the completion of the visual display scan of the first video field containing the resulting different information.

-) EVALUATION POLICY.

a. The methods, procedures, and standards defined in this AC is one means acceptable to the Administrator for the evaluation and qualification of a simulator. If an applicant desires to use another means, a proposal must be submitted to the NSPM for review and approval prior to the submittal of a detailed ATG. If an applicant chooses to utilize the approach described in this AC, the applicant must adhere to all of the methods, procedures, and standards herein.

b. The simulator must be assessed in the areas critical to the accomplishment of the airman training and checking process. This includes the simulator's longitudinal and lateral-directional responses; performance in takeoff, climb, cruise, descent, approach, and landing; control checks; cockpit, flight engineer, and instructor station functions checks; and certain additional requirements depending upon the complexity or qualification level of the simulator. The motion system and visual system will be evaluated to ensure their proper operation.

c. It is intended to evaluate the simulator as objectively as possible. Pilot acceptance, however, is also an important consideration. Therefore, the simulator will be subjected to validation tests presented in Appendix 2 of this Advisory Circular and functions and subjective tests from Appendix 3. These tests include a qualitative assessment of the simulator by an FAA pilot who is qualified in the respective airplane. Validation tests are used to objectively compare simulator and airplane data to assure that they agree within specified tolerances. Functions tests are designed to provide a basis for evaluating simulator capability to

form over a typical training period and to verify correct operation of the lator controls, instruments, and systems.

d. Tolerances, listed for parameters in Appendix 2, should not be confused with design tolerances specified for simulator manufacture. Tolerances for the parameters listed in Appendix 2 are the maximum acceptable to the Administrator for simulator validation.

e. A convertible simulator will be addressed as a separate simulator for each model and series to which it will be converted and FAA qualification sought. An FAA evaluation is required for each configuration. For example, if an operator seeks qualification for two models of an airplane type using a convertible simulator, two ATG's or a supplemented ATG, and two evaluations are required.

f. For new generation airplanes issued an original type certificate after June 1980 or significant amendments to an original type certificate, or a supplemental type certificate which would result in handling qualities or performance changes, only manufacturer's flight test data will be accepted for initial qualification. Exceptions to this policy must be submitted to the NSPM for review and consideration. For a new type or model of airplane, predicted data validated by flight test data, which has not received final approval by the manufacturer, can be used for an interim period as determined by the FAA. In the event predicated data is used in programming the simulator, it should be updated as soon as practicable when actual airplane flight test data becomes available. Unless specific conditions warrant otherwise, simulator programming should be updated within six months after release of the final flight test data package by the airplane manufacturer. Data revisions which affect or alter simulated airplane systems functions must be incorporated before further crewmember training or checking on the affected system.

g. If a problem with a validation test result is detected by the FAA Simulator Evaluation Specialist, the test may be repeated. If it still does not meet the test tolerance, the operator may demonstrate alternative test results which relate to the test in question. In the event a validation test(s) does not meet specified criteria, but is not considered critical to the level of evaluation being conducted, the NSPM may conditionally qualify the simulator at that level and the operator will be given a specified period of time to correct the problem and submit the ATG changes to the NSPM for evaluation. Alternatively, if it is determined that the results of a validation test would have a detrimental effect on the level of qualification being sought or is a firm regulatory requirement, the NSPM may qualify the simulator to a lesser level or restrict maneuvers based upon the evaluation completed. For example, if a Level D evaluation is requested and the simulator fails to meet landing test tolerances, it could be qualified at Level A.

h. Evaluation dates will not be established until the ATG has been reviewed by the NSPM and determined to be acceptable. Within 10 working days of receiving an acceptable ATG, the NSPM will coordinate with the operator and POI to set a mutually acceptable date for the evaluation. To avoid unnecessary delays, operators are encouraged to work closely with the NSPM during the ATG development process prior to making formal application.

i. During evaluations, the operator's pilots may assist in the accomplishment of the functions and validation tests at the discretion of the FAA Simulator Evaluation Specialist, however, only FAA personnel should manipulate the pilot controls during the functions check portion of an FAA evaluation.

8. INITIAL OR UPGRADE EVALUATIONS.

a. An operator seeking simulator initial or upgrade evaluation must submit a request in writing to the NSPM through the POI or responsible FAA Flight Standards District Office (FSDO). This request should contain a compliance statement certifying that the simulator meets all of the provisions of this advisory circular, that the cockpit configuration conforms to that of the airplane, that specific hardware and software configuration control procedures have been established, and that the pilot(s) designated by the operator confirm that it is representative of the airplane in all functions test areas. A sample letter of request is included in Appendix 4.

- b. The operator should submit an ATG which includes:
 - (1) A title page with the operator and FAA approval signature blocks.

Page 8

(2) A simulator information page, for each configuration in the case of pertible simulators, providing:

(a) The operator's simulator identification number or code.

(b) Airplane model being simulated.

(c) Aerodynamic data revision.

(d) Engine model and its data revision.

(e) Flight control data revision.

- (f) Flight Management System identification and revision level.
- (g) Simulator model and manufacturer.

(h) Date of simulator manufacture.

(i) Simulator computer identification.

(j) Visual system model and manufacturer.

(k) Motion system type and manufacturer.

(3) Table of contents.

(4) Log of revision and/or list of effective pages.

(5) Listing of all reference source data.

(6) Glossary of terms and symbols used.

(7) Statements of Compliance (SOC) with certain requirements. SOC's must provide references to sources of information for showing compliance, rationale to

lain how the referenced material is used, mathmatical equations and parameter les used, and conclusions reached. Refer to Appendix 1, "Simulator Standards," comments column, for SOC requirements.

(8) Recording procedures or required equipment for the validation tests.

(9) The following for each validation test designated in Appendix 2 of this AC:

(a) Name of the test.

- (b) Objective of the test.
- (c) Initial conditions.

(d) Manual test procedures.

(e) Automatic test procedures (if applicable).

(f) Method for evaluating simulator validation test results.

(g) Tolerances for relevant parameters.

(h) Source of Airplane Test Data (document and page number).

(i) Copy of Airplane Test Data.

(j) Simulator Validation Test Results as obtained by the operator.

(k) A means, acceptable to the NSPM, of easily comparing the simulator test results to airplane test data.

c. The operator's simulator test results must be recorded on a multichannel recorder, line printer, or other appropriate recording media acceptable to the NSPM. Simulator results should be labeled using terminology common to airplane

AC 120-40B

parameters as opposed to computer software identifications. These results should be easily compared to the supporting data by employing cross plotting, overlays, transparencies, or other acceptable means. Airplane data documents included in an ATG may be photographically reduced only if such reduction will not alter the graphic scaling or cause difficulties in scale interpretation or resolution. Incremental scales on graphical presentations must provide the resolution necessary for evaluation of the parameters shown in Appendix 2. The test guide will provide the documented proof of compliance with the simulator validation tests in Appendix 2. In the case of a simulator upgrade, an operator should run all validation tests for the requested qualification level. Validation test results offered in a test guide for a previous initial or upgrade evaluation should not be offered to validate simulator performance as part of a test guide offered for an upgrade. For tests involving time histories, flight test data sheets, or transparencies thereof, and simulator test results should be clearly marked with appropriate reference points to ensure an accurate comparison between simulator and airplane with respect to time. Operators using line printers to record time histories should clearly mark that information taken from the line printer data output for cross-plotting on the airplane data. The cross-plotting of the operator's simulator data to airplane data is essential to verify simulator performance in each test. During an evaluation, the FAA will devote its time to detailed checking of selected tests from the ATG. The FAA evaluation serves to validate the operator's simulator test results.

d. The completed ATG and the operator's compliance letter and request for the evaluation will be submitted through the operator's POI. The POI will then submit the total package with a letter or memorandum of endorsement to the NSPM. The ATG will be reviewed and determined to be acceptable prior to scheduling an evaluation of the simulator.

e. A copy of an ATG for each type simulator by each simulator manufacturer will be required for the NSPM's file. The NSPM may elect not to retain copies of the ATG for subsequent simulators of the same type by a particular manufacturer, but will determine the need for copies on a case by case basis. Data updates to an original ATG should be provided to the NSPM in order to keep FAA file copies current.

f. The operator may elect to accomplish the ATG validation tests while the simulator is at the manufacturer's facility. Tests at the manufacturer's facility should be accomplished at the latest practical time prior to disassembly and shipment. The operator must then validate simulator performance at the final location by repeating at least 1/3 of the validation tests in the ATG and submitting those tests to the NSPM. After review of these tests, the FAA will schedule an initial evaluation! The ATG must be clearly annotated to indicate when and where each test was accomplished.

g. In the event an operator moves a simulator to a new location and it's level of qualification is not changed, the following procedures shall apply:

(1) Advise the POI and NSPM of the move.

AC 120-40B

(2) Prior to returning the simulator to service at the new location, the lator should perform a typical recurrent validation and functions test. The results of such tests will be retained by the operator and be available for inspection by the FAA at the next evaluation or as requested.

(3) The NSPM may schedule an evaluation prior to return to service.

h. When there is a change of operator, the new operator must accomplish all required administrative procedures including the submission of the currently approved MATG through the POI to the NSPM. The ATG must be identified with the new operator by displaying the operator's name or logo. The POI will then submit the package as described in paragraph 8.d. above. The simulator may, at the discretion of the NSPM, be subject to an evaluation in accordance with the original qualification criteria. However, a simulator having Phase I status resulting from a landing maneuver approval under Advisory Circular 121-14B must meet the Phase I requirements in FAR Part 121 Appendix H in the event of the sale or transfer of the simulator from one operator to another.

i. The scheduling priority for initial and upgrade evaluations will be based on the sequence in which acceptable ATG's and evaluation requests are received by the NSPM.

j. The ATC will be approved after the completion of the initial or upgrade evaluation and all discrepancies in the ATG have been corrected. This document, after inclusion of the FAA witnessed test results, becomes the Master Approval Test de (MATG). The MATG will then remain in the custody of the operator for use in the recurrent evaluations.

9. RECURRENT EVALUATIONS.

a. For a simulator to retain its qualification, it will be evaluated on a recurrent basis using the approved MATG. Unless otherwise determined by the NSPM, recurring evaluations will be accomplished every 4 months by a Simulator Evaluation Specialist. Each recurrent evaluation, normally scheduled for 8 hours of simulator time, will consist of functions tests and approximately 1/3 of the validation tests in the MATG. The MATG is to be completed annually.

b. Dates of recurrent evaluations will normally not be scheduled beyond 30 days of the date due. Exceptions to this policy will be considered by the NSPM on a case by case basis to address extenuating circumstances.

c. In the interest of conserving simulator time, the following Optional Test Program (OTP) is an alternative to the 8-hour recurrent evaluation procedure:

(1) Operators of simulators having the appropriate automatic recording and plotting capabilities may apply for evaluation under the OTP.

(2) Operators must notify the NSPM in writing of their intent to enter the OTP. If the FAA determines that the evaluation can be accommodated with 4 hours or less of simulator time, recurrent evaluations for that simulator will be planned

for 4 hours. If the 4-hour period is or will be exceeded and the operator cannot extend the period, then the evaluation will be terminated and must be completed within 30 days to maintain qualification status. The FAA will then reassess the appropriateness of the OTP.

(3) Under the OTP, at least 1/3 of all the validation tests will be performed and certified by operator personnel between FAA recurrent evaluations. Complete coverage will be required through any three consecutive recurrent evaluations. These tests and results will be reviewed by the FAA Simulator Evaluation Specialist at the outset of each evaluation. The 1/3 of validation tests executed for each recurrent evaluation should be accomplished within the 30 days prior to the scheduled evaluation or accomplished on an evenly distributed basis during the 4-month period preceding the scheduled evaluation. Twenty percent of those tests conducted by the operator for each recurrent evaluation will then be selected and repeated by the Simulator Evaluation Specialist along with ten percent of those tests not performed by the operator.

d. With appropriate arrangement and understanding between the operator and FAA, an extended interval recurrent evaluation schedule based on semiannual FAA inspections can be arranged. The extended interval evaluation schedule relies on quarterly checks by the operator.

e. Prior to arrival for an on-site evaluation, the FAA inspector will notify the operator if any tests are planned to be run that may require special equipment or technicians. These tests would include latencies, control dynamics, sounds and vibrations, or motion system tests.

f. In instances where an operator plans to remove a simulator from active status for prolonged periods, the following procedures shall apply:

(1) The NSPM and POI shall be advised in writing. The notice shall contain an estimate of the period that the simulator will be inactive.

(2) Recurrent evaluations will not be scheduled during the inactive period. The NSPM will remove the simulator from qualified status on a mutually established date not later than the date on which the first missed recurrent evaluation would have been scheduled.

(3) Before a simulator can be restored to FAA qualified status, it will require an evaluation by the NSPM. The evaluation content and time required for accomplishment will be based on the number of recurrent evaluations missed during the inactive period. For example, if the simulator were out of service for one year, it would be necessary to complete the entire test guide since under the recurrent evaluation program, the MATG is to be completed annually.

(4) The operator will notify the NSPM of any changes to the original scheduled time out of service.

(5) The simulator will normally be requalified using the FAA approved MATG and criteria that was in effect prior to its removal from qualification; however inactive periods exceeding one year will require a review of the qualification basis and, if conditions warrant, may require the establishment of a new qualification basis.

SPECIAL EVALUATIONS.

a. Between recurring evaluations, if deficiencies are discovered or it becomes apparent that the simulator is not being maintained to initial qualification standards, a special evaluation of the simulator may be conducted by the NSPM to verify its status.

b. The simulator will lose its qualification when the NSPM can no longer ascertain maintenance of the original simulator validation criteria based on a recurrent or special evaluation. Additionally, the POI shall advise the operator and the NSPM if a deficiency is jeopardizing training requirements, and arrangements shall be made to resolve the deficiency in the most effective manner, including the withdrawal of approval by the POI.

11. MODIFICATION OF SIMULATORS, MOTION SYSTEMS, AND VISUAL SYSTEMS.

a. In accordance with FAR 121, Appendix H, operators must notify the POI and NSPM at least 21 days prior to making software program or hardware changes which might impact flight or ground dynamics of a simulator. A complete list of these planned changes, including dynamics related to the motion and visual systems and any necessary updates to the MATG, must be provided in writing. Operators should maintain a configuration control system to ensure the continued integrity of the simulator as qualified. The configuration control system may be examined by the FAA on request.

b. Modifications which impact flight or ground dynamics, systems functions, inignificant ATG revisions may require an FAA evaluation of the simulator.

12. <u>SIMULATOR QUALIFICATION BASIS</u>. The FAR's require that simulators must maintain their approved performance, functions, and other characteristics. Except as provided for in paragraph 2 of this advisory circular, all initial and recurrent evaluations of those simulators qualified after the effective date of this advisory circular will be conducted in accordance with the provisions herein. Simulators, approved prior to this advisory circular will continue to maintain their current qualification as long as they meet the standards under which they were originally approved, regardless of operator, except as noted in paragraph 8.h. Any simulator upgraded to Level B, C, or D standards or any visual system or motion system upgrade requires an initial evaluation of that simulator, visual system or motion system in accordance with the provisions herein.

Robert L. Goodrich Director, Flight Standards Service

APPENDIX 1. SIMULATOR STANDARDS

Par

-

Page

1. <u>DISCUSSION</u>. This appendix describes the minimum simulator requirements for qualifying Level A, Level B, Level C, and Level D airplane simulators. An operator desiring evaluation of an airplane simulator not equipped with a visual system (non-visual simulator) must comply with Level A simulator requirements except those pertaining to visual systems. Appropriate FAR's as indicated in paragraph 3 of this AC must be consulted when considering particular simulator requirements. The validation and functions tests listed in Appendices 2 and 3 must also be consulted when determining the requirements of a specific level simulator. For Levels C and D qualification, certain simulator and visual system requirements included in this appendix must be supported with a statement of compliance and, in some designated cases, an objective test. Compliance statements will describe how the requirement is met, such as gear modeling approach, coefficient of friction sources, etc. The test should show that the requirement has been attained. In the following tabular listing of simulator standards, required statements of compliance are indicated in the comment column.

	S	MULAT	OR LEV	ĘL	COMMENTS
2. GENERAL	A	В	C	D	
a. Cockpit, a full-scale replica of the airplane simulated. Direction of movement of controls and switches identical to that in the airplane. The cockpit, for simulator purposes, consists of all that space forward of a cross-section of the fuselage at the most extreme aft setting of the pilot's seats. Additional required crewmember duty stations and those required bulkheads aft of the pilot seats are also considered part of the cockpit and must replicate the airplane.	x	x	x	X	
b. Circuit breakers that affect procedures and/or result in observable cockpit indications properly located and functionally accurate.	x	x	x	x	

AC 120-40B Appendix 1

Q	s	IMULAT	OR LEV	EI.	COMMENTS		
Tettyr	A	В	C	D	CONTINUES -		
c. Effect of aerodynamic changes for various combinations of drag and thrust normally encountered in flight corresponding to actual flight conditions, including the effect of change in airplane attitude, thrust, drag, altitude, temperature, gross weight, center of gravity location, and configuration.	X	x	x	x			
d. Ground operations generically represented to the extent that allows turns within the confines of the runway and adequate control on the landing and roll-out from a crosswind approach.	x						
e. All relevant instrument indications involved in the simulation of the applicable airplane automatically responded to control movement by a crewmember or external disturbances to the simulated airplane, i.e., turbulence or wind shear.	x	x	X	x			
f. Communications and navigation equipment corresponding to that installed in the applicant's airplane with operation within the tolerances prescribed for the applicable airborne equipment.	X	x	X	x	See Appendix 3, par. 1, for further information regarding long-range navigation equipment.		
g. In addition to the flight crewmember stations, two suitable seats for the Instructor/Check Airman and FAA Inspector. The NSPM will consider options to this standard based on unique cockpit configurations. These seats must provide adequate vision to the pilot's panel and forward windows in visual system models. Observer seats need not represent those found in the airplane but must be equipped with similar positive restraint devices.	x	X	x	x			

Page 2

L

Par 2

	SIMULATOR LEVEL				COMMENTS
	A	B	C	D	COLLENIS
h. Simulator systems must simulate the applicable airplane system operation, both on the ground and in flight. Systems must be operative to the extent that normal, abnormal, and emergency operating procedures included in the operator's or other user's training programs can be accomplished.	x	X	X	x	
i. Instructor controls to enable the operator to control all required system variables and insert abnormal or emergency conditions into the airplane systems.	x	x	x	x	
j. Control forces and control travel which correspond to that of the replicated airplane. Control forces should react in the same manner as in the airplane under the same flight conditions.	x	x	. X	x	
k. Significant cockpit sounds which result from pilot actions corresponding to those of the airplane.	x	x	x	x	
1. Sound of precipitation, windshield wipers, and other significant airplane noises perceptible to the pilot during normal operations and the sound of a crash when the simulator is landed in excess of landing gear limitations.			x	x	Statement of Compliance

-Thesher

Par 2

đ

Page 3

AC 120-40B Appendix 1

 \mathbf{d}

C. A.	S	MULAT	OR LEV	EL	COMMENTS
m. Realistic amplitude and frequency of cockpit noises and sounds, including precipitation, windshield wipers, precipitation static, and engine and airframe sounds. The sounds shall be coordinated with the weather representations required in FAR Part 121, Appendix H, Phase III (Level D), Visual Requirement No. 3.	A	в	C	x	Tests Required.
 n. Ground handling and aerodynamic programming to include: (1) Ground effectfor example: roundout, flare, and touchdown. This requires data on lift, drag, pitching moment, trim, and power in ground effect. (2) Ground reactionreaction of the airplane upon contact with the runway during landing to include strut deflections, tire friction, side forces, and other appropriate data, such as weight and speed, necessary to identify the flight condition and configuration. (3) Ground handling characteristicssteering inputs to include crosswind, braking, thrust reversing, deceleration, and turning radius. 		X	X	x	Statement of Compliance. Tests Required.
o. Windshear models which provide training in the specific skills required for recognition of windshear phenomena and execution of recovery maneuvers. Such models must be representative of measured or accident derived winds, but may include simplifications which ensure repeatable encounters. For example, models may consist of independent variable winds in multiple			x	x	Statement of Compliance.

Par 2

Page 4

*i**

	S	MULATO	R LEVE	L	COMMENTS	
	A	В	C	D		
imulataneous components. Wind models should be vailable for the following critical phases of flight: (1) Prior to takeoff rotation (2) At liftoff (3) During initial climb					×	
(4) Short final approach The FAA Windshear Training Aid (February 1987) presents one acceptable means of compliance with simulator wind model requirements. The ATG should either reference the FAA Windshear Training Aid or present airplane related data on alternate methods implemented. Wind models from the Royal Aerospace Establishment (RAE), the Joint Airport Weather Studies (JAWS) Project and other recognized sources may be implemented, but must be supported or properly referenced in the ATG.						
p. Representative crosswinds and instructor	x	x	x	X		
 controls for wind speed and direction. q. Representative stopping and directional control forces for at least the following runway conditions based on airplane related data. (1) Dry (2) Wet 			x	x	Statement of Compliance Objective Tests Require for (1), (2), (3), Subjective Check for (4), (5), (6).	
 (3) Icy (4) Patchy Wet (5) Patchy Icy (5) The second seco						

Stern

÷

Par 2

4	0	A	B	R LEVI C	EL D	COMMENTS
r. Representative brake and tire failure dynam (including antiskid) and decreased brake efficiency to brake temperatures based on airplane related date	nics y due la.			x	X	Statement of Compliance. Tests Required.
s. A means for quickly and effectively testing simulator programming and hardware. This may inclu- automated system which could be used for conducting least a portion of the tests in the ATG.	g ude an g at			X	X	Statement of Compliance
t. Simulator computer capacity, accuracy, resolution, and dynamic response sufficient for qualification level to meet Level C sought.				X	x	Statement of Compliance FAR 121, Appendix H, specifies computer standard for Phases II & III (Levels C and D).
 u. Control feel dynamics which replicate the airplane simulated. Free response of the controls match that of the airplane within the tolerance give Appendix 2. Initial and upgrade evaluation will in control free response (column, wheel, and pedal) measurements recorded at the controls. The measure responses must correspond to those of the airplane takeoff, cruise, and landing configurations. (1) For airplanes with irreversible control systems, measurements may be obtained on the ground proper pitot static inputs are provided to represent conditions typical of those encountered in flight. Engineering validation or airplane manufacturer rationale will be submitted as justification to group test or omit a configuration. (2) For simulators requiring static and 	shall ven in nclude ed in l d if nt			X	X	Statement of Compliance Tests Required. See Appendix 2, par. 3.
dynamic tests at the controls, special test fixture will not be required during initial evaluations if operator's ATG shows both test fixture results and alternate test method results, such as computer da plots, which were obtained concurrently. Repeat o alternate method during the initial evaluation may satisfy this test requirement.	ts at the controls, special test fixtures required during initial evaluations if the ATG shows both test fixture results and est method results, such as computer data h were obtained concurrently. Repeat of the ethod during the initial evaluation may then s test requirement.					

Page 6

AC 120-40B Appendix 1

 \mathbf{e}

	SIMULATOR LEVEL			EL	COMMENTS
	A	B	C	D	Concerne a
v. Relative responses of the motion system, visual system, and cockpit instruments shall be coupled closely to provide integrated sensory cues. These systems shall respond to abrupt pitch, roll, and yaw inputs at the pilot's position within 150/300 milliseconds of the time, but not before the time, when the airplane would respond under the same conditions. Visual scene changes from steady state disturbance shall occur within the system dynamic response limit of 150/300 milli- seconds but not before the resultant motion onset. The test to determine compliance with these requirements should include simultaneously recording the analog output from the pilot's control column, wheel, and pedals, the output from an accelerometer attached to the motion system platform located at an acceptable location near the pilots' seats, the output signal to the visual system display (including visual system analog delays), and the output signal to the pilot's attitude indicator or an equivalent test approved by the Administrator. The test results in a comparison of a recording of the simulator's response to actual airplane response data in the takeoff, cruise, and landing configuration. The intent is to verify that the simulator system transport delays or time lags are less than 150/300 milliseconds and that the motion and visual cues relate to actual airplane responses. For airplane response, acceleration in the appropriate rotational axis is preferred.	x	x	x	x	Statement of Compliance. Tests Required. For Levels A and B, response must be within 300 milliseconds. For Levels C and D, response must be within 150 milli- seconds.

1.

AC 120-40B Appendix 1

į,

Page 7

(

Par 2

9 · 0 1	SI	MULATO	OR LEVI	FL	COMMENTS	App	
S Visition	A	В	C	D	a a	end	
s an alternative, a transport delay test may be used to emonstrate that the simulator system does not exceed he specified limit of 150/300 ms. his test shall measure all the delay encountered by a tep signal migrating from the pilots' control through he control loading electronics and interfacing through 11 the simulation software modules in the correct rder, using a handshaking protocol, finally through the isual system and instrument displays. A recordable tart time for the test should be provided by a pilot light control input. The test mode shall permit normal omputation time to be consumed and shall not alter the low of information through the hardware/software system. The transport delay of the system is then the ime between the control input and the individual			т. 			IX I	
hardware responses. It need only be measured once in each axis, being independent of flight conditions. w. Aerodynamic modeling which, for airplanes issued an original type certificate after June 1980, includes low-altitude level-flight ground effect, Mach effect at high altitude, effects of airframe icing, normal and reverse dynamic thrust effect on control surfaces, aero-elastic representations, and representations of nonlinearities due to side slip based on airplane flight test data provided by the manufacturer.					Statement of Compliance. Tests Required. See Appendix 2, par. 4, for further information on ground effect, Mach effect, aeroelastic representations, and nonlinearities due to sideslip are normally included in the simulator aerodynamic model, but the Statement of Compliance must		

Par 2

	S	SIMULATO		/EL	COMMENTS
	A	B	C	D	
Con't					address each of them. Separate tests for thrust effects and a Statement of Compliance and demonstration of icing effects are required.
x. Aerodynamic and ground reaction modeling for the effects of reverse thrust on directional control.		x	x	x	Statement of Compliance. Tests Required.
y. Self-testing for simulator hardware and programming to determine compliance with simulator performance tests as prescribed in Appendix 2. Evidence of testing must include simulator number, date, time, conditions, tolerances, and appropriate dependent variables portrayed in comparison to the airplane standard. Automatic flagging of "out-of-tolerance" situations is encouraged.				x	Statement of Compliance. Tests Required.
z. Diagnostic analysis printouts of simulator malfunctions sufficient to determine compliance with the Simulator Component Inoperative Guide (SCIG). These printouts shall be retained by the operator between recurring FAA simulator evaluations as part of the daily discrepancy log required under FAR 121.407(a)(5).				x	Statement of Compliance.

(leph)

ï

•

ł

No. I. C.		IMULAT	OR LEV	EL	COMMENTS		
sits. ¹⁷	A	B	C	D			
aa. Timely permanent update of simulator hardware and programming subsequent to airplane modification.			x	x			
bb. Daily preflight documentation either in the daily log or in a location easily accessible for review.	x	x	x	x			
 MOTION SYSTEM. a. Motion (force) cues perceived by the pilot epresentative of the airplane motions, i.e., ouchdown cues, should be a function of the simulated ate of descent. 	x	x	x	x			
b. A motion system having a minimum of three egrees of freedom.	x	x					
c. A motion system which produces cues at least quivalent to those of a six-degrees-of-freedom ynergistic platform motion system.			x	x	Statement of Compliance. Tests Required.		
d. A means for recording the motion response time or comparison with airplane data.	x	X	x	x	See 2.v. of this Appendix.		
 e. Special effects programming to include: (1) Runway rumble, oleo deflections, effects of roundspeed and uneven runway characteristics. (2) Buffets on the ground due to spoiler/peedbrake extension and thrust reversal. 		x	x	x	5 (S)		
(3) Bumps after lift-off of nose and main gear.					÷		

.

Page 10

+

	SIMULATOR LEVEL			COMMENTS	
the second se	A	B	C	D	
(4) Buffet during extension and retraction of landing gear.					
(5) Buffet in the air due to flap and spoiler/ speedbrake extension.					
(6) Stall buffet to, but not necessarily beyond, the FAA certificated stall speed, Vs.					
(7) Representative touchdown cues for main and nose gear.					
(8) Nosewheel scuffing.					
(9) Thrust effect with brakes set.			1		
t. Characteristic buttet motions that result from operation of the airplane (for example, high-speed buffet, extended landing gear, flaps, nosewheel scuffing, stall) which can be sensed at the flight deck. The simulator must be programmed and instrumented in such a manner that the characteristic buffet modes can be measured and compared to airplane data. Airplane data are also required to define flight deck motions when the airplane is subjected to atmospheric disturbances. General purpose disturbance models that approximate demonstrable flight test data are acceptable. A test with recorded results which				x	Statement of Compliance. Tests Required.
allows the comparison of relative amplitudes versus frequency is required.	_				

e

Par 3

4

.

Page 11

-4010

		SIMULA	TOR LEV	EL	COMMENTS
4. VISUAL SYSTEMS.	A	В	C	D	COMMENTS
a. Visual system capable of meeting all the standards of this appendix and Appendices 2 and 3 (Validation and Functions and Subjective Tests Appendices) as applicable to the level of qualification requested by the applicant.	x	x	x	x	
b. Optical system capable of providing at least a 45-degree horizontal and 30-degree vertical field of view simultaneously for each pilot.	x	x			
c. Continuous minimum visual field of view of 75- degrees horizontal and 30-degrees vertical per pilot seat. Both pilot seat visual systems shall be able to be operated simultaneously.			x	x	
d. A means for recording the visual response time for visual systems qualified under AC 121-14C and subsequent.	x	x	x	x	
e. Visual scene content to verify visual ground regment. The information provided must indicate the ertinent data such as proper location of the glide lope transmitter for specified runways, cockpit visual ut-off angle, pilot eye reference point, etc., in a anding configuration, and relative height of the glide lope antenna to the main landing gear wheels in the anding configuration at a 100 ft. (30 m.) main wheel eight above the touchdown zone. The ATC must	X	X	X	x	

ł

	SIMULATOR LEVEL			VEL	CONVENTO
	A	В	C	D	COMPENIS
contain the calculations and drawings used to develop the visual scene content. The visual system approach/runway light intensity setting used should be specified in the ATG.					
f. For the NSPM to qualify precision weather minimum accuracy on simulators qualified under previous advisory circulars, operators must provide the information required in e. above.	x	x	x	x	
g. Visual cues to assess sink rate and depth perception during landing.		x	x	x	
h. Test procedures to quickly confirm visual system color, RVR, focus, intensity, level horizon, and attitude as compared to the simulator attitude indicator.			x	x	Statement of Compliance. Tests Required.
i. Dusk scene to enable identification of a visible horizon and typical terrain characteristics such as fields, roads, bodies of water.			x	x	Statement of Compliance. Tests Required.
j. A minimum of ten levels of occulting. This capability must be demonstrated by a visual model through each channel.			x	x	Statement of Compliance, Tests Required.
k. Daylight, dusk, and night visual scenes with sufficient scene content to recognize airport, the terrain, and major landmarks around the airport and to successfully accomplish a visual landing. The daylight visual scene must be part of a total daylight cockpit environment which at least represents the amount of light in the cockpit on an overcast day. Daylight visual system is defined as a visual system capable of				x	Statement of Compliance. Tests Required.

5.

AC 120-40B Appendix 1

1 110

Par 4

1 4

1 King	ASI	MULATO	R LEV C	EL	COMMENTS	U
producing, as a minimum, full color presentations, scene content comparable in detail to that produced by 4,000 edges or 1,000 surfaces for daylight and 4,000 light points for night and dusk scenes, 6-foot lamberts of light measured at the pilot's eye position (highlight brightness), 3-arc minutes resolution for the field of view at the pilot's eye, and a display which is free of apparent quantization and other distracting visual effects while the simulator is in motion. The simulator cockpit ambient lighting shall be dynamically consistent with the visual scene displayed. For daylight scenes, such ambient lighting shall neither "washout" the displayed visual scene nor fall below 5-foot lamberts of light as reflected from an approach plate at knee height at the pilot's station and/or 2-foot lamberts of light as reflected from the pilot's face. All brightness and resolution requirements must be validated by an objective test and will be retested at least yearly by the NSPM. Testing may be accomplished more frequently if there are indications that the performance is degrading on an accelerated basis. Compliance of the brightness capability may be demonstrated with a test	Α	В	C	D		
 pattern of white light using a spot photometer. (1) Contrast Ratio. A raster drawn test pattern filling entire visual scene (three or more channels) shall consist of a matrix of black and white squares no larger than 10 degrees and no smaller than 5 degrees per square with a white square in the center of each channel. Measurement shall be made on the center bright square for each channel using a 1° spot photometer. This value shall have a minimum brightness of 2-foot lamberts. Measure any adjacent dark squares. The contrast ratio is the bright square value divided by dark square value. 						

	SIMULATOR LEVEL				COMMENTS
	A	B	C	D	
Minimum test contrast ratio result is 5:1.					
Lightpoint contrast ratio shall be not less than 25:1 when a square of at least 1°; filled (i.e., lightpoint modulation is just discernible) with lightpoint is compared to the background adjacent.					
Note: Cockpit ambient light levels should be maintained at Phase III requirements.					
(2) Highlight Brightness Test. Maintaining the full test pattern described above, superimpose a highlight area on the center white square of each channel and measure the brightness using the 1° spot photometer. Lightpoints are not acceptable. Use of calligraphic capabilities to enhance raster brightness is acceptable.					
(3) Resolution will be demonstrated by a test pattern of objects shown to occupy a visual angle of 3 arc minutes in the visual scene from the pilot's eyepoint. This should be confirmed by calculations in the statement of compliance.					
(4) Lightpoint size - not greater than 6 ARC minutes measured in a test pattern consisting of a single row of lightpoints reduced in length until modulation is just discernible, a row of 40 lights will form a 4° angle or less.					

-

AC 120-40B Appendix 1

.

Par 4

 t_{-v}

APPENDIX 2. SIMULATOR VALIDATION TESTS

1. <u>DISCUSSION</u>. Simulator performance and system operation must be objectively evaluated by comparing the results of tests conducted in the simulator to airplane data unless specifically noted otherwise. To facilitate the validation of the simulator, a multichannel recorder, line printer, or other appropriate recording device acceptable to the NSPM should be used to record each validation test result. These recordings should then be compared to the airplane source data.

N

ar 1

Page

The ATG provided by the operator must describe clearly and distinctly how the simulator will be set up and operated for each test. Use of a driver program designed to automatically accomplish the tests is encouraged for all simulators. Self testing of simulator hardware and programming to determine compliance with all simulator requirements is specified by FAR 121, Appendix H, for Phase III (Level D) simulators. It is not the intent and it is not acceptable to the FAA to test each simulator subsystem independently. Overall integrated testing of the simulator must be accomplished to assure that the total simulator system meets the prescribed standards. A manual test procedure with explicit and detailed steps for completion of each test must also be provided.

The tests and tolerances contained in this appendix must be included in the operator's ATG. Levels B, C, and D simulators must be compared to flight test data except as otherwise specified. For airplanes certificated prior to June 1980, an operator may, after reasonable attempts have failed to obtain suitable flight test data, indicate in the ATG where flight test data are unavailable or unsuitable for a specific test. For such a test, alternative data should be submitted to the NSPM for approval. Submittals for approval of data other than flight test must include an explanation of validity with respect to available flight test information.

The Table of Validation Tests of this appendix generally indicates the test results required. Unless noted otherwise. simulator tests should represent airplane performance and handling qualities at normal operating weights and centers of gravity (cg). If a test is supported by airplane data at one extreme weight or cg, another test supported by airplane data at muconditions or as close as possible to the other extreme should be included. Certain tests which are relevant only at one extreme. cg or weight condition need not be repeated at the other extreme. Test of handling_ qualities must include validation of augmentation devices."

Simulators for highly augmented airplanes will be validated both in the unaugmented configuration (or failure state with the maximum permitted degradation in handling qualities) and the augmented configuration. Where various levels of handling qualities result from failure states, validation of the effect of the failure is necessary. Requirements for testing will be mutually agreed to between the operator and the NSPM on a case-by-case basis.

In the case of simulators approved under previous advisory circulars, the tolerances of this appendix may be used in subsequent recurrent evaluations for any given test providing the operator has submitted a proposed ATG revision to the NSPM and has received FAA approval.

2. <u>TEST REQUIREMENTS</u>. The ground and flight tests required for qualification are listed in the Table of Validation Tests. Computer generated simulator test results should be provided for each test. The results should be produced on a multichannel recorder, line printer, or other appropriate recording device acceptable to the NSPM. Time histories are required unless otherwise indicated in the Table of Validation Tests.

Flight test data which exhibit rapid variations of the measured parameters may require engineering judgment when making assessments of simulator validity. Such judgment must not be limited to a single parameter. All relevant parameters related to a given maneuver or flight condition must be provided to allow overall interpretation. When it is difficult or impossible to match simulator to airplane data throughout a time history, differences must be justified by providing a comparison of other related variables for the condition being assessed.

a. Parameters, Tolerances, and Flight Conditions. The Validation Tests Table of this appendix describes the parameters, tolerances, and flight conditions for simulator validation. If a flight condition or operating condition is shown which does not apply to the qualification level sought, it should be disregarded. Simulator results must be labeled using the tolerances and units given.

Appendix

AC

120-40

NB

b. Flight Conditions Verification. When comparing the parameters listed to those of the airplane, sufficient data must also be provided to verify the correct flight condition. For example, to show that control force is within +5 pounds (2.225 daN) in a static stability test, data to show the correct airspeed, power, thrust or torque, airplane configuration, altitude, and other appropriate datum identification parameters should also be given. If comparing short period dynamics, normal acceleration may be used to establish a match to the airplane, but airspeed, altitude, control input, airplane configuration, and other appropriate data must also be given. All airspeed values should be clearly annotated as to indicated, calibrated, etc., and like values used for comparison.

The application of this appendix to simulator validation requires reference to FAR 121, Appendix H to acquire full know-ledge of simulator criteria for approval.

			TABLE OF VALIDA	TION TESTS					
					I = Im R = Rec	itial curren	Evalu nt Eva	ation	1 ion
		Test	Tolerance	Flight Condition	Qualific	ation	n Requ	uirem	ent <u>Comments</u>
PER	ORMA	NCE			A	В	С	D]
a.	TAXI				4				Awar in
	(1)	Minimum Radius Turn	+3 Feet (0.9m) or 20% of Airplane Turn Radius	Ground/Takeoff		R	IR	IR	Sector States - Proven
	(2)	Rate of Turn vs. Nosewheel Steering Angle	+10% or +2°/sec. Turn Rate	Ground/Takeoff		R	IR	IR	in of the second
b.	TAKE	OFF			÷				
	(1)	Ground Acceleration Time and Distance	+5% Time and Distance or +5% Time and +200 Feet (61 Meters) of Distance	Ground/Takeoff	IR	R	IR	IR	Acceleration Time and Distance should be recorded for a minimum of 80% of total segment (Brake release to Vr)
	(2)	Minimum Control Speed, Ground (Vmcg) Aero- dynamic Controls Only per Applicable Air- worthiness Standard	+25% of Maximum Airplane Deviation from Runway Centerline or +5 Feet (1.5 Meters)	Ground/Takeoff	R	R	IR	IR	Engine failure speed must be within <u>+</u> 1 knot of airplane engine failure speed.
		or Low Speed, Engine Inoperative Ground Control Characteristics							

•

4

X

	Test	Tolerance	Flight Condition	Qual	lific	ation	n Requ	uirem	ent <u>Comments</u>
PERFORM	NCE (TAKEOFF con't)			T	A	B	с	D	
(3)	Minimum Unstick Speed or equivalent as provided by the airplane manufacturer	+3 Kts Airspeed +1.5° Pitch	Ground/Takeoff		R	R	IR	IR	Vmu is defined as that speed at which the last main landing gear leaves the ground. Main landing Gear Strut Compression or equiva- lent air/ground signal should be recorded. Record as a minimum from 10 Kts before start of rotation.
(4)	Normal Takeoff	+3 Kts Airspeed +1.5° Pitch, or +1.5° Angle of Attack +20 Feet (6 Meters) Altitude	Ground/Takeoff and First Segment Climb		IR	IR.	IR	IR	Record Takeoff profile to at least 200 ft. (61 Meters) AGL.
(5)	Critical Engine Failure on Takeoff	+3 Kts Airspeed 02 +1.5° Pitch, +1.5° Angle of Attack +20 Feet (6 Meters) Altitude +2° Bank and Sideslip Angle	Ground/Takeoff and First Segment Climb		R	IR	IR	R	Record Takeoff profile to at least 200 ft. (61 Meters) AGL. Engine failure speed must be within +3 Kts of airplane data.
(6)	Crosswind Takeoff	+3 Kts Airspeed on +1.5° Pitch, +1.5° Argle of Attack +20 Feet (6 Meters) Altitude +2° Bank and	Ground/Takeoff and First Segment Climb		IR	IR	R	IR	Record Takeoff profile to at least 200 ft. (61 Meters) AGL with same relative wind profile as airplane test.

TABLE OF VALIDATION TESTS

V

I = Initial Evaluation R = Recurrent Evaluation

			Test	Tolerance	Flight Condition	Qual	ifi	catio	n Req	uire	ment Comments
1.	PER	FORM	MŒ			1	A	B	C	D	
	c.	CLD	ß			T				-	
		(1)	 Normal Climb All Engines Operating Operating 	+3 Kts Airspeed +5% or +100 FPM (0.5 Meters/Sec) Climb Rate	Climb With All Engines Operating		R	IR	IR	IR	May be a Snapshot Test. Manufacturer's gross climb gradient may be used for flight test data.
		(2)	One Engine Inoperative Second Segment Climb	+3 Kts Airspeed +5% or +100 FFM (0.5 Meters/Sec) Climb Rate, but not less than the FAA Approved Flight Manual Rate of Climb	Second Segment Climb With One Engine Inoperative		IR	IR	IR	IR	May be a Snapshot Test. Manufacturer's gross climb gradient may be used for flight test data.
		(3)	One Engine Inoperative Approach Climb for Airplanes With Icing Accountability per Approved AFM	+3 Kts Airspeed +5% or +100 FRM (0.5 Meters/Sec) Climb Rate, but not less than the FAA Approved Flight Manual Rate of Climb	Approach Climb With One Engine Inoperative		IR	IR	IR	IR	May be a Snapshot Test, Manufacturer's gross climb gradient may be used for flight test data.
	d.	STOP	PING						-		
		(1)	Stopping Time and Distance, Wheel Brakes Dry Runway	+5% of Time. For distance up to 4000 Feet (1220 m.) +200 Feet (61 m.) or +10% whichever is smaller. For distance greater than 4000 Feet (1220 m.) +5% of dista	Landing	:	IR	R	IR	IR	Time and Distance should be recorded for at least 80% of the total segment (TD to Full Stop).

AC 120-40B Appendix 2

...

11

Par 2

				TABLE OF	VALIT IESIS	I R	= Ini = Rec	tial	Evalu nt Eva	uation	n ion
			Test	Tolerance	Flight Condition	Qua	lific	ation	n Requ	uirem	ent <u>Comments</u>
1.	PER	FORMA	NCE (SIOPPING con't)				A	B	C	D	
		(2)	Stopping Time and Distance, Reverse Thrust Dry Runway	+5% Time and the Smaller of +10% or 200 Feet (61 Meters) of Distance	Landing		R	IR	IR	IR	Time and Distance should be recorded for at least 80% of the total demonstrated reverse thrust segment.
	_	-	•						U.	1	
		(3)	Stopping Time and Distance, Wheel Brakes Wet Runway	Representative Stopping Time and Distance	Landing				I	I	FAA approved AFM data is acceptable.
		(4)	Stopping Time and Distance, Wheel Brakes Icy Runway	Representative Stopping Time and Distance	Landing				I	I	FAA approved AFM data is acceptable.
-	e.	ENGI	NES								
		(1)	Acceleration	<u>+</u> 10% Time	Approach or Landing		IR	IR	R	IR	Test from flight idle to go-around power. Time history should be provided.
		(2)	Deceleration	<u>+</u> 10% Time	Ground/Takeoff		IR	IR	IR	R	Test from max T.O. power to 10% of maximum takeoff power (90% decay in power). Time history should be provided.

18

Page 6

Par 2

			TABLE OF	I = Initial Evaluation R = Recurrent Evaluation							
		Test	Tolerance	Flight Condition	Qualifie	cation	n Requ	uren	ent <u>Comments</u>		
2. 1	IANDLING	QUALITIES			A	B	С	D			
a	(1)	Column Position vs. Force and Surface Position Calibration	+2 1bs (0.89 daN) Breakout +5 1bs (2.224 daN) or +10% Force +2° Elevator	Ground	IR	IR	IR	R	Uninterrupted control sweep.		
	(2)	Wheel Position vs. Force and Surface Position Calibration	+2 lbs (.89 daN) Breakout +3 lbs (1.334 daN) or +10% Force +1° Aileron +2° Spoiler	Ground	R	IR	IR	R	Uninterrupted control sweep.		
	(3)	Pedal Position vs. Force and Surface Position Calibration	+5 1bs (2.224 daN) Breakout +5 1bs (2.224 daN) or +10% Force +2° Rudder	Ground	IR	R	R	R	Uninterrupted control sweep.		

No. 14

**Column, wheel, and pedal position vs. force shall be measured at the control. An alternate method acceptable to the NSPM in lieu of the test fixture at the controls would be to instrument the simulator in an equivalent manner to the flight test airplane. The force and position data from this instrumentation can be directly recorded and matched to the airplane data. Such a permanent installation could be used without any time for installation of external devices. 10

Page -

,	1	TABLE OF	VALID	I = I R = R	nitial ecurre	Evalu nt Eva	ation	ion U
	Test	Tolerance	Flight Condition	Qualif	icatio	n Requ	ireme	ent <u>Comments</u>
HANDLIN	QUALITIES (STATIC CONIRC	A CHECKS con't)		A	B	C	D	
(4)	Nosewheel Steering Force	+2 1bs (.89 daN) Breakout +3 1bs (1.334 daN) or +10% Force	Ground	IR	IR	R	IR	
(5)	Rudder Pedal Steering Calibration	+2° Nose Wheel Angle	Ground	IR	IR	IR	R	Swapsham"
(6)	Pitch Trim Calibration Indicator vs. Computed	+0.5° of Computer Trim Angle	Ground	IR	IR	IR	IR	
(7)	Alignment of Power Lever Angle or Cross Shaft Angle vs. Selected Engine Parameter (EPR, N	+5° of Power Lever Angle or Cross Shaft Angle 1)	Ground	IR	R	IR	R	Simultaneous recording for all engines. 5 deg. tolerance applies against airplane data and between engines. May be Snapshot Test.
(8)	Brake Pedal Position Versus Force	+2° Pedal Position +5 1b (2.224 daN) or 10%	Ground	IR	IR	IR	R	Simulator computer output results may be used to show compliance.

		TABLE OF	VALIDATION TESTS	I = 1 R = 1	Initial Recurre	Eval nt Eva	uationaluat	n íon
	Test	Tolerance	Flight Condition	Quali	ficatio	n Req	uiren	ent Comments
2.	HANDLING QUALITIES			A	В	C	D]
	b. DYNAMIC CONTROL CHECKS** (1) Pitch Control	+10% of time for first zero crossing and +10% of period thereafter. +10% Amplitude of first overshoot. +20% of amplitude of 2nd and subsequent overshoots greater than 5% of initial displacement. +1 overshoot.	Takeoff, Cruise, Landing	1969 e		IR	IR	Data should be normal control displacement in both directions. Approximately 25% to 50% of full throw. Refer to Paragraph 3 this appendix.
-	(2) Roll Control	Same as (1) above.	Takeoff, Cruise, Landing			IR	IR	Data should be normal control displacement. Approximately 25% to 50% of full throw.
-	(3) Yaw Control	Same as (1) above.	Takeoff, Cruise, Landing			IR	IR	Data should be normal control displacement. Approximately 25% to 50% of full throw.

**Column, wheel, and pedal position vs. force or time shall be measured at the control. An alternate method acceptable to the NSPM in lieu of the test fixture at the controls would be to instrument the simulator in an equivalent manner to the flight test airplane. The force and position data from this instrumentation can be directly recorded and matched to the airplane data. Such a permanent installation could be used without any time for installation of external devices. AC 120-40B Appendix 2

÷

. (TABLE OF	VALI <u>N TESTS</u>	I = In: R = Rec	itial curren	Eval nt Eva	uatic aluat	AC 120-40B Appendix 2
	Test	Tolerance	Flight Condition	Qualific	cation	n Requ	uiren	ent <u>Comments</u>
2.	HANDLING QUALITIES			A	B	С	D	
	c. LONGITUDINAL (1) Power Change Dynamics	+3 Kts Airspeed +100 Feet (30 Meters) Altitude +20% or +1.5° Pitch	Cruise	IR	R	R	R	Time history of uncontrolled free response for time increment equal to at least one phugoid period.
	(2) Flap Change Dynamics	+3 Kts Airspeed +100 Feet (30 Meters) Altitude +20% or +1.5° Pitch	Takeoff to Second Segment Climb, Approach to Landing	R ; R	R R	R R	R R	Time history of uncontrolled free response for time increment equal to at least one phugoid period.
	(3) Spoiler/Speedbrake Change Dynamics	<u>+3</u> Kts Airspeed +100 Feet (30 Meters) Altitude +20% or <u>+</u> 1.5° Pitch	Cruise and Approach	IR	IR	R	R	Time history of uncontrolled free response for time increment equal to at least one phugoid period.

Page 10

....

TABLE OF VALIDATION TESTS

I = Initial Evaluation R = Recurrent Evaluation

4		Test	Tolerance	Flight Condition	Qualifi	catio	n Req	uire	ment Comments
2.	HANDLIN	G QUALITIES (LONGITUDINAL)	con't)		A	B	C	D	>
	(4)	Gear Change Dynamics	+3 Kts Airspeed +100 Feet (30 Meters) Altitude +20% or +1.5° Pitch	Takeoff to Second Segment Climb, Approach to Landing	R	IR	IR	IR	Time history of uncontrolled free response.
	(5)	Gear and Flap Operating Times	+3 Seconds or 10% of Time	·Takeoff, Approach	IR	IR	IR	IR	
	(6)	Longitudinal Trim	+1° Pitch Control (Stab and Elev) +1° Pitch Angle +2% Net Thrust or Equivalent in Cruise +5% Net Thrust, Approach or Landing	Cruise, Approach, Landing	IR	R	R	R	May be Snapshot Tests.
	(7)	Longitudinal Maneuvering Stability (Stick Force/g)	+5 lbs (+2.224 daN) or +10% Column Force or Equivalent Surface	Cruise, Approach, Landing	R	R	IR	IR	May be series of Snapshot Tests. Force or Surface Deflection must be in correct direction.

 $i \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ AC 120-40B Appendix 2

×.

Par 2

Page 12

1		TABLE OF	VALI N TESTS	$I = I_1$ R = Re	itial ecurre	Eval ent Ev	uatio	m tion			
	Test	Tolerance	Flight Condition Q	ualifi	catio	n Reg	wire	ment Comments			
2.	HANDLING QUALITIES (LONGITUDINAL	con't)		A	В	c	D	1			
	(8) Longitudinal Static Stability	+5 lbs (+2.224 daN) or +10% Column Force or Equivalent Surface	Approach	IR	IR	IR	R	Data for at least 2 speeds above and below trim speed. May be Snapshot Tests.			
	(9) Stick Shaker, Airframe Buffet, Stall Speeds	+3 Knts Airspeed. +2° Bank for speeds greater than stick shaker or initial buffet.	Second Segment Climb and Approach or Landing	IR	IR	R	IR	Stall Warning Signal should be recorded and must occur in the proper relation to stall.			
	(10) Phugoid Dynamics	+10% of Period +10% of Time to 1/2 or Double Amplitude or +.02 of Damping Ratio	Cruise	R	IR	IR	IR	Test should include 6 cycles or that suffi- cient to determine time to 1/2 amplitude which- ever is less.			
	(11) Short Period Dynamics	+1.5° Pitch or +2°/sec Pitch Rate +.10g Normal Acceleration	Cruise		IR	R	IR				
d.	LATERAL DIRECTIONAL							,			
	 Minimum Control Speed, Air (Vnca), per Applicable Airworthi- ness Standard or Low Speed Engine Inoperative Handling Characteristics in Air 	<u>+</u> 3 Knots Airspeed	Takeoff or Landing (Whichever is most critical in airplane)	IR	IR	R	R	Vmca may be defined by a performance or control limit which prevents demonstration of Vmca in the conventional manner.			

AC 120-40B Appendix 2

.*

÷.

Par 2

I = Initial Evaluation R = Recurrent Evaluation

		lest	Tolerance	Flight Condition	Qualifi	catio	n Req	uire	ment Comments
2.	HANDLIN	G QUALITIES (LATERAL DIR	ECTIONAL con't)		A	B	C	D	
_	(2)	Roll Response (Rate)	+10% or +2°/sec Roll Rate	Cruise and Approact or Landing	n IR	IR	IR	IR	Test with normal wheel deflection (about 30%).
	(3)	Roll Overshoot or Response to Step Roll Controller Input	<u>+2° or +10% of Bank</u> +10% or +2°/sec Roll Rate	Approach or Landing	IR	IR	IR	IR	Rate response to step roll control input preferred.
	(4)	Spiral Stability	Correct Trend, +2° Bank or +10% in 20 Seconds	Cruise	IR	IR	R	IR	Airplane data averaged from multiple tests may be used. Test for both directions.
	(5)	Engine Inoperative Trim	+1° Rudder Angle or +1° Tab Angle or Equivalent Pedal. +2° Sideslip Angle	Second Segment and Approach or Landing	R	R	R	IR	May be Snapshot Tests.
	(6)	Rudder Response	+2°/sec or +10% Yaw Rate	Approach or Landing	IR	R	IR	R	Test with stability augmentation ON and OFF. Dutch roll test may be used if Rudder Input is shown and other controls in trim.

Par 2

Page

13

AC 120-40B Appendix 2

...

				I = In R = Re	nitial curre	Eval nt Ev	uatic aluat	on cion	pendix :
	Test	Tolerance	Flight Condition	Qualifi	catio	n Req	uiren	comments	NE
2. <u>H</u>	HANDLING QUALITIES (LATERAL DIREC	TIONAL con't)		A	B	c	D	1	
	(7) Dutch Roll, Yaw Damper OFF	+0.5 sec or +10% of Period. +10% of Time to 1/2 or Double Amplitude or +.02 of Damping Ratio +20% or +1 sec of Time Difference Between Peaks of Bank and Sideslip.	Cruise and Approach or Landing	*	IR	R	R	Test for at least 6 cycles with stability augmentation OFF.	
	(8) Steady State Sideslip Staninht	For a given rudder position +2° Bank, +1° Sideslip, +10% or +2° Aileron, +10% or +5° Spoiler or Equivalent Wheel Position or Force	Approach or Landing	IR	IK	IK.	IK	May be a series of Snapshot Tests.	
e	e. LANDINGS								
*	(1) Normal Landing	+3 Knots Airspeed +1.5° Pitch Angle of Attack +10% Altitude or 10 F (3 Meters)	Landing eet		IR	R	R	Test for a minimum of 200 ft. (61 Meters) AGL to Nosewheel Touch- down. Derotation may be shown as a separate maneuver from the time of main gear touchdown.	

	Test			I = I $R = R$	nitial curre	l Eval ent Ev	luation valuat	on ion
	1030	Tolerance	Flight Condition	Qualif	icatio	n Req	wire	ent <u>Comments</u>
				A	B	C	D	
2.	HANDLING QUALITIES (LANDINGS con	<u>''t)</u>		4				speed for rudder effectiveness +5 Kts. Others, test to verify simulator meets condi- tions demonstrated by airplane manufacturer.
	f. GROUND EFFECT (1) A test to demonstrate	+1° Elevator or	Landing		TP	TR		
(+ -	ground effect.	Stabilator Angle +5% Net Thrust or Equa +1° Angle of Attack +10% Height/Altitude or +5 Feet (1.5 m.) +3 Knots Airspeed +1° Pitch Attitude	ivalent		IK	ĸ	IK	appendix. A rationale must be provided with justifi- cation of results.
3.	SIMULATOR SYSTEMS							
*	(1) Frequency Response	As specified by operator for simulator acceptance.		R	IR	R	R	Appropriate test to demonstrate Frequency Response required.

И.

I = Initial Evaluation R = Recurrent Evaluation

		Test	Tolerance	Flight Condition Q	valifi	catio	n Reg	uiren	ment Comments
3.	SIMULATO	R SYSTEMS (MOTION SYSTEM	con't)		A	B	c	D	
	(2)	Leg Balance	As specified by operator for simulat acceptance.	or	IR	IR	IR	IR	Appropriate test to demonstrate Leg Balance required.
÷	(3)	Turn Around Check	As specified by operator for simulat acceptance.	or	IR	IR	IR	IR	Appropriate test to demonstrate Smooth Turn Around required.
	(4)	Characteristic Buffet Motions	See Appendix 1, Para 3.f.					IR	Compliance statement required. Test required.
	ь. visu. (1)	AL SYSTEM - (Note: Refer Visual Ground Segment	to Appendix 3 for add +20% Threshold lights must be visible if they are in the visual segment.	itional visual tests.) Static at 100 ft. (30 Meters) Wheel Height Above Touchdown Zome on Glide Slope	IR	R	R	R	The ATG should indicate the source of data, i.e., ILS G/S antenna location, pilot eye reference point, cockpit cutoff angle, etc., used to make visual scene ground segment content calculations.
	(2)	Visual System Color	Demonstration Model				IR	IR	

Par 2

-

AC 120-40B Appendix 2

e.

		TABLE OF	VALIDATION TESTS	I = Im R = Re	itial curre	Eval nt Ev	uatio aluat	m ion
	Test	Tolerance	Flight Condition	Qualifi	catio	n Req	uiren	ent <u>Comments</u>
SIMULAT	OR SYSTEMS (VISUAL SYSTEM	con't)		A	B	c	D	
(3)	Visual RVR Calibration	Demonstration Model				IR	IR	
(4)	Visual Display Focus and Intensity	Demonstration Model				IR	IR	
(5)	Visual Attitude vs. Simulator Attitude Indicator (Pitch and Roll of Horizon)	Demonstration Model				IR	IR	
(6)	Demonstrate 10 Levels of Occulting Through Each Channel of System	Demonstration Model				I	I	May be requested for recurrent evaluation.
c. VIS (1)	UAL, MOTION, AND COCKPIT I Visual, Motion, and Instrument Systems response to an abrupt pilot controller input, compared to airplane response for a similar input. OT	NSIRUMENT RESPONSE 150 milliseconds or less after airplane response. 300 milliseconds or less after airplane response.	Takeoff, Cruise, Approach or Landing Takeoff, Cruise, Approach or Landing	IR	IR	IR	IR	One test is required in each axis (pitch, roll, and yaw) for each of the 3 conditions compared to airplane data for a simulator input. (Total 9 tests.) Visual change may start before motion response, but motion acceleration must occur before completion of visual scan of first video field containing different information

Page 18

Par N AC 120-40B Appendix 2

TABLE OF VALIDATION TESTS

I = Initial Evaluation R = Recurrent Evaluation

1

AC 120-40B Appendix 2

	Test	Tolerance	Flight Condition	Qualif	icatio	n Req	uiren	comments
3.	SIMULATOR SYSTEMS (VISUAL, MOTION	, AND COCKPIT INSTRUME	NT RESPONSE con't)	A	В	C	D	
	Transport Delay	150 milliseconds or less after control movement.	Pitch, Roll, Yaw			IR	IR	One test is required in each axis. (Total 3 tests.)
		300 milliseconds or less after control movement.	Pitch, Roll, Yaw	IR	IR			See Appendix 1, Item 2.v.
	d. Sound							
	Realistic amplitude and freque including precipitation static The sounds shall be coordinate required in FAR Part 121, Appe Requirement No. 3.	ency of cockpit noises c, and engine and airfn ed with the weather rep endix H, Phase III (Lev	and sounds, rame sounds, presentations rel D), Visual				R	Test results must show a comparison of the amplitude and frequency content of the sounds.
	. DIAGNOSTIC TESTING							
	 A means for quickly and e programming and hardware. automated system which co least a portion of the te 	effectively testing sim . This could include a ould be used for conduc ests in the ATG.	nulator m cting at			IR	IR	
	(2) Self testing of simulator determine compliance with Requirements.	r hardware and programm n Levels B, C, and D Si	ning to imulator				IR	
	(3) Diagnostic analysis as prAppendix H, Phase III (Let	rescribed in FAR Part 1 evel D) Simulator Requi	121, Trement No. 5.				IR	

Par 2

3. CONTROL DYNAMICS. The characteristics of an airplane flight control system have a major effect on the handling qualities. A significant consideration in pilot acceptability of an airplane is the "feel" provided through the cockpit controls. Considerable effort is expended on airplane feel system design in order to deliver a system with which pilots will be comfortable and consider the airplane desirable to fly. In order for a simulator to be representative, it too must present the pilot with the proper feel; that of the respective airplane. This fact is recognized in FAR 121, Appendix H, Phase II (Level C) Simulator Requirement 10, which states: "Aircraft control feel dynamics shall duplicate the airplane simulated. This shall be determined by comparing a recording of the control feel dynamics of the simulator to airplane measurements in the takeoff, cruise, and landing configuration."

Recordings such as free response to an impulse or step function are classically used to estimate the dynamic properties of electromechanical systems. In any case, it is only possible to estimate the dynamic properties as a result of only being able to estimate true inputs and responses. Therefore, it is imperative that the best possible data be collected since close matching of the simulator control loading system to the airplane systems is essential. The required control feel dynamic tests dictated by FAR 121, Appendix H, are described in 2.b. of the Table of Validation Tests of this section.

R VALIDATION TESTS (Continued)

For initial and upgrade evaluations, it is required that control dynamic characteristics be measured at and recorded directly from the cockpit controls. This procedure is usually accomplished by measuring the free response of the controls using a step or pulse input to excite the system. The procedure must be accomplished in takeoff, cruise, and landing flight conditions and configurations.

Appendix

120-40B

16.41

AC

For airplanes with irreversible control systems, measurements may be obtained on the ground if proper pitot-static inputs are provided to represent airspeeds typical of those encountered in flight. Likewise, it may be shown that for some airplanes, takeoff, cruise, and landing configurations have like effects. Thus, one may suffice for another. It either or both considerations apply, engineering validation or airplane manufacturer rationale must be submitted as justification for ground tests or for eliminating a configuration. For simulators requiring static and dynamic tests at the controls, special test fixtures will not be required during initial and upgrade evaluations if the operator's ATG shows both test fixture results and the results of an alternate approach, such as computer plots which were produced concurrently and show satisfactory agreement. Repeat of the alternate method during the initial evaluation would then satisfy this test requirement.

APPENDIX 2. SIMULATOR VALIDATION TESTS (Continued)

a. Control Dynamics Evaluation. The dynamic properties of control systems are often stated in terms of frequency, damping, and a number of other classical measurements which can be found in texts on control systems. In order to establish a consistent means of validating test results for simulator control loading, criteria are needed that will clearly define the interpretation of the measurements and the tolerances to be applied. Criteria are needed for both underdamped, and critically and overdamped systems. In case of an underdamped system with very light damping, the system may be quantified in terms of frequency and damping. In critically damped or overdamped systems, the frequency and damping is not readily measured from a response time history. Therefore, some other measurement must be used.

Par

w

Pag

D

21

(1) For Levels C and D simulators, tests to verify that control feel dynamics represent the airplane must show that the dynamic damping cycles (free response of the controls) match that of the airplane within 10% of period and 10% of damping. The method of evaluating the response is described below for the underdamped and critically damped cases.

(a) Underdamped Response. Two measurements are required for the period, the time to first zero crossing (in case a rate limit is present) and the subsequent frequency of oscillation. It is necessary to measure cycles on an individual basis in case there are non-uniform periods in the response. The damping tolerance should be applied to overshoots on an individual basis. Care should be taken when applying the tolerance to small overshoots since the significance of such overshoots becomes questionable. Only those overshoots larger than 5% of the total initial displacement should be considered significant. The simulator should show the same number of significant overshoots to within 1 when compared against the airplane data. This procedure for evaluating the response is illustrated in Figure 1.

(2) Critically Damped and Overdamped Response. Due to the nature of critically damped responses (no overshoots), the time to reach 90% of the steady state (neutral point) value should be the same as the airplane within +10%. The simulator response should be critically damped also. Figure 2 illustrates the procedure.

Tolerances

The following table summarizes the tolerances, T. See Figure 1 and 2 for an illustration of the referenced measurements.

> AC 120-40B Appendix 2

$T(P_0)$	+10% of Po
$T(P_1)$	+10% of P1
$T(P_n)$	+10% of Pn
$T(A_n)$	+10% of A1, 20%
	of Subsequent Peaks
T(Ad)	+5% of Ad
Overshoots	<u>+</u> 1

Page 22

b. <u>Alternate Method for Control Dynamics</u>. C airplane manufacturer has proposed, and the FAA accepts, an alternate means for dealing with control dynamics. The method applies to airplanes with hydraulically powered flight controls and artificial feel systems. Instead of free response measurements, the system would be validated by measurements of control force and rate of movement.

For each axis of pitch, roll, and yaw, the control shall be forced to its maximum extreme position for the following distinct rates. These tests shall be conducted at typical taxi, takeoff, cruise, and landing conditions.

(1) Static Test - Slowly move the control such that approximately 100 seconds are required to achieve a full sweep.

(2) Slow Dynamic Test - Achieve a full sweep in approximately 10 seconds.

(3) Fast Dynamic Test - Achieve a full sweep in approximately 4 seconds.

NOTE: Dynamic sweeps may be limited to forces not exceeding 100 LB.

Tolerances

(1) Static Test - Items 2.a.(1)(2) and(3) of this appendix.

(2) Dynamic Test - 2 LB or 10% on dynamic increment above static test.

The FAA is open to alternative means such as the one described above. Such alternatives must, however, be justified and appropriate to the application. For example, the method described here may not apply to all manufacturer's systems and certainly not to airplanes with revers control systems. Hence, each case must be considered on its own merit on an ad hoc basis. Should the FAA find that alternative methods do not result in satisfactory simulator performance, then more conventionally accepted methods must be used.

4. <u>GROUND EFFECT</u>. During landing and takeoff, airplanes operate for brief time intervals close to the ground. The presence of the ground significantly modifies the air flow past the airplane and, therefore, changes the aerodynamic characteristics. The close proximity of the ground imposes a barrier which inhibits the downward flow normally associated with the production of lift. The downwash is a function of height with the effects usually considered to be negligible above a height of approximately one wingspan. There are three main effects of the reduced downwash:

a. A reduction in downwash angle at the tail for a conventional configuration.

b. An increase in both wing and tail lift because of changes in the relationship of lift coefficient to angle of attack (increase in lift curve slope).

c. A reduction in the induced drag.

Relative to out-of-ground effect flight (at a given angle of attack), these effects result in higher lift in ground effect and less power required for level flight. Because of the associated effects on stability, they also cause significant changes in elevator (or stabilator) angle to trim and stick (column) forces required to maintain a given lift coefficient in level flight near the ground. For a simulator to be used for takeoff and in particularly landing credit, it must faithfully reproduce the aerodynamic changes which occur in ground effect. The parameters chosen for simulator validation must obviously be indicative of these changes. The primary validation parameters for longitudinal characteristics in ground effect are:

a. Elevator or stabilator angle to trim,

b. Power (thrust) required for level flight (PLF),

c. 'Angle of attack for a given lift coefficient,

d. Altitude,

e. Airspeed,

This listing of parameters assumes that groundeffect data is acquired by tests during "flyby's" at several altitudes in and out of ground effect. The test altitudes should, as a minimum, be at 10 percent, 30 percent, and 70 percent of the airplane wingspan and one altitude out of ground effect; e.g., 150 percent of wingspan. Level fly-by's are required for Level D, but not for Level C and Level B. They are, however, acceptable for all phases.

If, in lieu of the level fly-by method for Levels B and C, other methods such as shallow glidepath approaches to the ground maintaining a chosen parameter constant are proposed, then additional validation parameters are important. For example, if constant attitude shallow approaches are chosen as the test maneuver, pitch attitude, and flight path angle are additional necessary validation parameters. The selection of the test method and procedures to validate ground effect is at the option of the organization performing the flight tests, however, rationale must be provided to conclude that the tests performed do indeed validate the ground-effect model.

The allowable longitudinal parameter tolerances for validation of ground effect characteristics are:

Elevator or Stabilator Angl	e <u>+</u> 1°
Power for Level Flight (PLF) <u>+</u> 5%
Angle of Attack	<u>+</u> 1°
Altitude/Height or <u>+</u>	+10% 5' (1.5 m.)
Airspeed	+3 Knots
Pitch Attitude	<u>+</u> 1°

The lateral-directional characteristics are also altered by ground effect. Because of the above-mentioned changes in lift curve slope, roll damping, as an example, is affected. The change in roll damping will effect other dynamic modes usually evaluated for simulator validation. In fact, Dutchroll dynamics, spiral stability, and rollrate for a given lateral control input are

AC 120-40B Appendix 2

50

Par

Page 24

ered by ground effect. Steady heading sideslips will also be affected. These effects must be accounted for in the simulator modeling. Several tests such as "crosswind landing," "one engine inoperative landing," and "engine failure on takeoff" serve to validate lateral-directional ground effect since portions of them are accomplished while transiting altitudes at which ground effect is an important factor.

AC 120-40B Appendix 2

..



· whir

Page 25 AC 120-40B Appendix 2



Figure 2. Critically-damped Step Response

APPENDIX 3. FUNCTIONS AND SUBJECTIVE TESTS

1. <u>DISCUSSION</u>. Accurate replication of airplane systems functions will be checked at each flight crewmember position by an FAA Simulator Evaluation Specialist. This includes procedures using the operator's approved manuals and checklists. Handling qualities, performance, and simulator systems operation will be subjectively assessed by an FAA Simulator Evaluation Specialist qualified in the respective airplane.

At the request of a POI, the Simulator Evaluation Specialist may assess the simulator for a special aspect of an operator's training program during the functions and subjective portion of a recurrent evaluation. Such an assessment may include a portion of a LOFT scenario or special emphasis items in the operator's training program. Unless directly related to a requirement for the current qualification level, the results of such an evaluation would not affect the simulator's current status.

Operational principal navigation systems including inertial navigation systems, OMEGA, or other long-range systems, and the associated electronic display systems will be evaluated if installed. The Simulator Evaluation Specialist will include in his report to the POI the effect of the system operation and system limitations.

2. <u>TEST REQUIREMENTS</u>. The ground and flight tests and other checks required for qualification are listed in the Table of Functions and Subjective Tests. The table includes maneuvers and procedures to assure that the simulator functions and performs appropriately for use in pilot training and checking in the maneuvers and procedures delineated in FAR Part 61 and FAR Part 121, Appendices E and F. It also contains tests to assure compliance with FAR Part 121, Appendix H, and other regulatory provisions. Maneuvers and procedures are included to address some features of advanced technology airplanes and innovative training programs. For example, "high angle of attack maneuvering" is included to provide an alternative to "approach to stalls." Such an alternative is necessary for airplanes employing flight envelope limiting technology. The portion of the table addressing pilot functions and maneuvers is divided by flight phases. Visual systems tests are listed separately as are special effects.

All systems functions will be assessed for normal and, where appropriate, alternate operations. Normal, abnormal, and emergency procedures associated with a flight phase will be assessed during the evaluation of maneuvers or events within that flight phase. Systems are listed separately under "Any Flight Phase" to assure appropriate attention to systems checks.

S S	IMULAT	OR LEVE	EL
A	В	С	D
			v
1		*	~
x	x	x	х
	8 8		
	x	x	x
x	x	x	x
x	x	x	x
	of X x x	of X X 1 X X X X X X X X	of X X X X A X

•

٠.

14	LE OF FUNCTIONS AND SUBJECTIVE TESTS	A	B	OR LEV	EL .
		•			
	(b) Acceleration characteristics.				
	(c) Nosewheel and rudder steering.				
	(d) Crosswind (maximum demonstrated).				
4	(e) Special performance.				
	(f) Instrument takeoff.				
levice oper	(g) Landing gear, wing flap, leading edge ation.			÷	
	(h) Other.				
(2)	Abnormal/Emergency.	x	x	x	
	(a) Rejected.			-	
	(b) Rejected special performance.				
ost critic. akeoff).	(c) With failure of most critical engine at 1 point along takeoff path (continued				
	(d) With windshear.				
	(e) Flight control system failure modes.			6	
	(f) Other.				
d. INFI	IGHT OPERATION.				
(1)	Climb.	x	x	x	
	(a) Normal				
	(b) One engine inoperative.				
	(c) Other.				
	(c) Other.				

)

-

- 1

	LE OF	FUNCTIONS AND SUBJECTIVE TESTS	A	IMULAT B	OR LEV	D
(2)	Cru	ise.	x	x	x	x
power).	(a)	Performance characteristics (speed vs.				
orake) deplo	(b) oyed.	Turns with/without spoilers (speed				
	(c)	High altitude handling.				
	(d)	High speed handling.				
· * -	(e)	Mach tuck and trim, overspeed warning.				
	(f)	Normal and steep turns.				
	(g)	Performance turns.				
uffet, and	(h) g-bre igura	Approach to stalls (stall warning, ak (cruise, takeoff, approach, and tion).				
_}.			1.09			
akeoff, app	(i) roach	High angle of attack maneuvers (cruise, , and landing).				
akeoff, app	(i) roach (j)	High angle of attack maneuvers (cruise, , and landing). Inflight engine shutdown and restart.				
akeoff, app	(i) roach (j) (k)	High angle of attack maneuvers (cruise, , and landing). Inflight engine shutdown and restart. Maneuvering with one engine inoperative				
akeoff, app	(i) roach (j) (k) (1)	High angle of attack maneuvers (cruise, , and landing). Inflight engine shutdown and restart. Maneuvering with one engine inoperative Specific flight characteristics.				
akeoff, app	(i) roach (j) (k) (1) (m)	High angle of attack maneuvers (cruise, , and landing). Inflight engine shutdown and restart. Maneuvering with one engine inoperative Specific flight characteristics. Manual flight control reversion.				
akeoff, app	(i) roach (j) (k) (1) (m) (n)	High angle of attack maneuvers (cruise, , and landing). Inflight engine shutdown and restart. Maneuvering with one engine inoperative Specific flight characteristics. Manual flight control reversion. Flight control system failure modes.				

.

•.

TABL	E OF FUNCTIONS AND SUBJECTIVE TESTS	S: A	MULATO B	C LEVI	D
(3)	Descent.	x	x	x	x
	(a) Normal.				
	(b) Maximum rate.				1
	(c) Manual flight control reversion.				
	(d) Flight control system failure modes.				
	(e) Other.				
e. APPR	OACHES				
(1)	Nonprecision.	x	x	x	x
	(a) Maneuvering with all engines operating.				
speed brake.	(b) Landing gear, operation of flaps, and				
	(c) All engines operating.				
	(d) One or more engines inoperative.				
	(e) Approach procedures.				
	NDB				
	VOR, RNAV TACAN				
	LOC/BC				
	AZI, LDA, LOC, SDF				
	ASR				
	(f) Missed approach.				
	All engines operating.				
(One or more engines inoperative				
(as applicat	Ie).				
					1
			1	L	

TABLE OF FUNCTIONS AND SUBJECTIVE TESTS	Â	IMULAT B	OR LEVI C	D
(2) Precision.	x	x	x	x
(a) PAR.				
(b) ILS.				
<u>1</u> Normal.				
2 Engine(s) inoperative.				
3 Category I published approach.				
<u>a</u> Manually controlled with and without flight director to 100 ft. (30 m.) below CAT I minima.				
<u>b</u> With crosswind (maximum demonstrated).				
<u>c</u> With windshear.				
<u>4</u> Category II published approach.				
a Auto-coupled, auto-throttle,				
b All engines operating missed				
5 Category III published approach.				
a With generator failure.				
b With 10 knot tailwind.				
c With 10 knot crosswind.				
<u>d</u> One engine inoperative.				
(3) Visual.				
(a) Abnormal wing flaps/slats.				
(b) Without glide slope guidance.				

4

TABLE OF FUNCTIONS AND SUBJECTIVE TESTS	A	B	DR L C
f. VISUAL SEGMENT AND LANDING			
(1) Normal.			
(a) Crosswind (maximum demonstrated).		x	x
(b) From VFR traffic pattern.	-	 	erve
(c) From non-precision approach.		x	x
(d) From precision approach.		x	x
(e) From circling approach.	x	x	x
NOTE: Simulators with visual systems which permit completing a circling approach without violating FAR 91.116(e) may be approved for <u>that particular</u> circling approach procedure.			
(2) Abnormal/emergency.	x	x	x
(a) Engine(s) inoperative.			
(b) Rejected.			
(c) With windshear.			
(d) With standby (minimum electrical/ hydraulic) power.			
(e) With longitudinal trim malfunction.			
(f) With lateral-directional trim malfunction.			
(g) With loss of flight control power (manual reversion).			
(h) With worst case failure of flight control system (most significant degradation of fly-by-wire system which is not extremely improbable).			ľ
(i) Other flight control system failure modes as dictated by training program.			
(j) Other.			

)

) TABLE OF FUNCTIONS AND SUBJECTIVE TESTS		· SI	SIMULATOR LEVE			
	TABLE OF I	UNCTIONS AND BUDGLOTINE THERE	A	В	C	D
g.	SURFACE OF	PERATIONS (POST LANDING)				
	(1) Land	ing roll and taxi.		x	x	X
	(a)	Spoiler operation.				
	(b)	Reverse thrust operation.				
handling	(c) g, both wi	Directional control and ground th and without reverse thrust.				
increase	(d) ed reverse	Reduction of rudder effectiveness with thrust (rear pod-mounted engines).				
dry, we	· (e) t, and icy	Brake and anti-skid operation with conditions.				
	(f)	Brake operation.				
	(g)	Other.				
h.	ANY FLIGH	T PHASE	1.2		12	
-	(1) Airp	lane and powerplant systems operation.	x	x	x	x
	(a)	Air conditioning.				
	(b)	Antiicing/deicing.				
	(c)	Auxiliary powerplant.				
	(d)	Communications.				
	(e)	Electrical.				
	(f)	Fire detection and suppression.				
	(g)	Flaps.				
	(h)	Flight controls.				+
	(i)	Fuel and oil.				
	(j)	Hydraulic.				
	(k)	Landing gear.				

•

AC 120-40B

A	P	p	e	n	d	i	х	3
	•	•						

1	TAE	BLE OF FUNCTIONS AND SUBJECTIVE TESTS	S	MULAT	OR LEV	
-				-	-	-
	-	(1) Oxygen				
		(m) Pneumatic.				
		(n) Powerplant.			8.0	
		(o) Pressurization.				
	(2)	Flight management and guidance systems.	x	x	x	x
		(a) Airborne radar.				
		(b) Automatic landing aids.				
		(c) Autopilot.				
		(d) Collision avoidance system.				
		(e) Flight control computers.				
		(f) Flight data displays.				
di la		(g) Flight management computers.				
j .		(h) Head-up displays.				
		(i) Navigation systems.				
		(j) Stall warning/avoidance.				
		(k) Stability and control augmentation.				
		(1) Windshear avoidance equipment.				
	(3)	Airborne procedures.	x	x	x	x
		(a) Holding.				
		(b) Air hazard avoidance.			x	x
		(c) Windshear.				
	(4)	Engine shutdown and parking.	x	x	x	x
		(a) Engine and systems operation.				
		(b) Parking brake operation.				
	(5)	Other.				

TABLE OF FUNCTIONS AND SUBJECTIVE TESTS	SIMULATOR LEVEL				
	A	В	C	D	
2. <u>VISUAL SYSTEM</u>					
a. Accurate portrayal of environment relating to simulator attitudes.	x	x	x	x	
b. With final picture resolution, the distances at which runway features are visible should not be less than those listed below. Distances are measured from runway threshold to an airplane aligned with the runway on an extended 3° glide slope.	x	x	x	x	
(1) Runway definition, strobe lights, approach lights, runway edge white lights and VASI lights from 5 statute miles (8 kilometers) of the runway threshold.					
(2) Runway centerline lights and taxiway definition from 3 statute miles (4.8 kilometers).					
(3) Threshold lights and touchdown zone lights from 2 statute miles (3.2 kilometers).					
(4) Runway markings within range of landing Lits for night scenes; as required by 3 arc minute resolution on day scenes.					
c. Representative airport scene content including:	x	x	x	x	
(1) Airport runways and taxiways.			1.11		
(2) Runway definition.					
(a) Runway surface.					
(b) Lighting for the runway in use including runway edge and centerline lighting, touchdown zone, VASI, and approach lighting of appropriate colors and taxiway lights.					
d. Operational landing lights.	x	x	x	Х	
e. Instructor controls of:	x	x	x	2	
(1) Cloudbase.					
(2) Visibility in statute miles (km) and RVR in feet (meters).					
			1		

ŝ.

5

TABLE OF FUNCTIONS AND SUBJECTIVE TESTS	S A	MULATO B	C C	EL D
(3) Airport selection.				
(4) Airport lighting	-1			
(4) Allport lighting.				1.
f. Visual system compatibility with aerodynamic programming.	х	x	x	X
g. Visual cues to assess sink rates and depth perception during landings.		x	x	X
(1) Surface on taxiways and ramps.				
(2) Terrain features.				
h. Dusk and night visual scene capability.			x	2
i. Minimum of 3 specific airport scenes.			x	2
(1) Surfaces on runways, taxiways, and ramps.				
(2) Lighting of appropriate color for all runways including runway edge, centerline, VASI, and approach lighting for the runway in use and airport taxiway lighting.				
(3) Ramps and terminal buildings which correspond to an operator's Line Oriented Flight Training (LOFT) scenarios.				
j. General terrain characteristics and significant landmarks.			x	
k. At and below an altitude of 2,000 ft. (610 m.) neight above the airport and within a radius of 10 miles (16.1 kilometers) from the airport, weather represen- tations, including the following:			x	
(1) Variable cloud density.				
(2) Partial obscuration of ground scenes; the ffect of a scattered to broken cloud deck.				
(3) Gradual break out.				
		1	1	
(4) Patchy fog.				

~)

-

1

TABLE OF FUNCTIONS AND SUBJECTIVE TESTS		SIMULATOR LEVEL			
	A	В	С	D	
 A capability to present ground and air hazards such as another airplane crossing the active runway or converging airborne traffic. 			x	x	
m. Operational visual scenes which portray repre- sentative physical relationships known to cause landing illusions such as short runways, landing approaches over water, uphill or downhill runways, rising terrain on the approach path, and unique topographic features.				x	
n. Special weather representations of light, medium, and heavy precipitation near a thunderstorm on takeoff, approach, and landings at and below an altitude of 2,000 feet (610 m.) above the airport surface and within a radius of 10 miles (16 kilometers) from the airport.				x	
o. Wet and snow-covered runways including runway lighting reflections for wet, partially obscured lights for snow, or suitable alternative effects.				x	
p. Realistic color and directionality of airport				x	
q. Weather radar presentations in airplanes where radar information is presented on the pilot's navigation instruments. Radar returns should correlate to the visual scene.				x	
r. Freedom from apparent quantization (aliasing).				x	
. SPECIAL EFFECTS.		*			
a. Runway rumble, oleo deflections, effects of groundspeed and uneven runway characteristics.		x	x	x	
b. Buffets on the ground due to spoiler/speedbrake extension and thrust reversal.		x	x	x	
c. Bumps after lift-off of nose and main gear.		x	x	x	
d. Buffet during extension and retraction of landing gear.		x	x	X	
e. Buffet in the air due to flap and spoiler/ speedbrake extension and approach-to-stall buffet.		x	x	x	

÷,

 $\sim 10^{-10}$

 f. Touchdown cue g. Nosewheel sco h. Thrust effect i. Representative (including antiskid) to high brake temperate data. These represent to cause pilot idention mentation of appropriate side loading, and dire should be representate j. Sound of preconsisting perceptible to and the sound of a creexcess of landing gear 	es for main and nose gear. uffing. t with brakes set. ye brake and tire failure dy and decreased brake efficie atures based on airplane rel tations should be realistic fication of the problem and ate procedures. Simulator rectional control characteri rive of the airplane. sipitation and significant a	ynamics ency due lated e enough d imple- pitch, istics	x x x	x x x x	x x x x
 g. Nosewheel sca h. Thrust effect i. Representative (including antiskid) to high brake temperate data. These represent to cause pilot identified mentation of appropriation side loading, and dires should be representate j. Sound of precention provises perceptible to and the sound of a create 	uffing. t with brakes set. we brake and tire failure dy and decreased brake efficie atures based on airplane rel tations should be realistic fication of the problem and ate procedures. Simulator sectional control characteri ive of the airplane.	ynamics ency due lated e enough d imple- pitch, istics	x x	x x x	x x x
 h. Thrust effect i. Representative (including antiskid) to high brake temperate data. These represent to cause pilot identified mentation of appropriation side loading, and dires should be representate j. Sound of precent sound of a create 	with brakes set. we brake and tire failure dy and decreased brake efficie atures based on airplane rel tations should be realistic fication of the problem and ate procedures. Simulator ectional control characteri ive of the airplane.	ynamics ency due lated e enough d imple- pitch, lstics	x	x x	x x
i. Representativ (including antiskid) to high brake tempera data. These represent to cause pilot idention mentation of appropri- side loading, and dir should be representat j. Sound of preconsists perceptible to and the sound of a cr excess of landing gea	we brake and tire failure dy and decreased brake efficie atures based on airplane rel tations should be realistic fication of the problem and ate procedures. Simulator sectional control characteri ive of the airplane.	ynamics ency due lated e enough d imple- pitch, istics		x	x
j. Sound of prec noises perceptible to and the sound of a cr excess of landing gea	ipitation and significant a				
toises should include and spoiler extension to a comparable level sound of a crash shou to landing in an unus structural gear limit	the pilot during normal op ash when the simulator is 1 r limitations. Significant noises such as engine, fla and retraction and thrust as that found in the airpl 1d be related in some logic ual attitude or in excess o ations of the airplane.	airplane perations anded in airplane p, gear reversal ane. The al manner of the		x	x
k. Effects of ai	rframe icing.			x	x

- ¹

APPENDIX 4. EXAMPLES

- FIGURE 1. ATG COVER PAGE
- FIGURE 2. SIMULATOR INFORMATION PAGE

.

FIGURE 3. EXAMPLE APPLICATION LETTER

1993

-)

FIGURE 1. EXAMPLE ATG COVER PAGE

OPERATOR NAME

OPERATOR ADDRESS

FAA APPROVAL TEST GUIDE

(AIRPLANE MODEL)

(Type of Simulator) (Simulator Identification Including Manufacturer, Serial Number, Visual System Used)

(Simulator Location)

FAA Initial Evaluation Date:

 $\frac{1}{2}$

(Operator Approval)

Date:

Date:

.

FAA, Manager, National Simulator Program

.

FIGURE 2. SIMULATOR INFORMATION PAGE

OPERATOR

BA707#1

OPERATOR SIMULATOR CODE:

AIRPLANE MODEL:

AERODYNAMIC DATA REVISION:

ENGINE MODEL AND REVISION:

FLIGHT CONTROLS DATA REVISION:

FLIGHT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM:

SIMULATOR MODEL AND MANUFACTURER:

DATE OF SIMULATOR MANUFACTURE:

SIMULATOR COMPUTER:

VISUAL SYSTEM MODEL AND MANUFACTURER:

VISUAL SYSTEM COMPUTER:

MOTION SYSTEM:

and the second second

Stratos BA707-320

CPX-8D-RPT-1 June 1988

BA707-320 CPX-8D July 1988

BA707-320 May 1988

Berry XP

MANUFACTURER: MTD-707 Tinker

1988

CIA

ClearView P-T 5 Channel

LMB-6

Tinker 6 DOF

AC 120-40B Appendix 4		4
)	FIGURE 3. EXAMPLE APPLICATION LETTER	
Name, POI,	Airlines	
FAA FSDO		3
Address		
City, State, Zip		

Dear Mr. :

(Name) Airlines requests evaluation of our (Type) airplane simulator for Level _____qualification. The _____(Name) simulator with ______(Name) visual system is fully defined on page _______of the accompanying approval test guide (ATG). We have completed tests the simulator and certify that it meets all applicable requirements of FAR 121.407 (or 135.335 or 125...), FAR 121, Appendix H, and the guidance of Advisory Circular (AC) 120-40B. Appropriate hardware and software configuration control procedures have been established. Our pilots have assessed the simulator and found that it conforms to the ______(Name) ______Airlines ______(Type) airplane cockpit configuration and that the simulated systems and subsystems function equivalently to those in the airplane. Our pilots have also assessed the performance and flying qualities of the simulator and find that it represents the respective airplane.

(Added comments as desired.)

Sincerely,